UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,818	12/30/2005	Kjell Olav Stinessen	3657-1032	6497
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 05/29/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street			BUCK, MATTHEW R	
	Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/562,818	STINESSEN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MATTHEW R. BUCK	3671		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 4-19 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examinet  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2005 is/are  Applicant may not request that any objection to the or  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examineter	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected or bologonic section is required if the drawing(s) is object on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
,=	animor. Note the attached embe	7.00.017 01 101111 1 0 102.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/30/2005, 12/28/2007.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte		

Application/Control Number: 10/562,818 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stinessen (5398762), and further in view of Grob et al. (6464469).
- 3. As concerns claim 1, Stinessen shows a sub-sea gas compressor module having a pressure housing (21) which comprises: an electric motor (8) and a compressor (3), drivably connected by at least one shaft (9 and 11); said compressor and motor being mutually isolated by at least one seal (17), thereby dividing said pressure housing into a first and a second compartment comprising the compressor and motor, respectively. Stinessen lacks to show at least one shaft is supported by magnetic bearings controlled by a control unit, said control unit being placed externally of said pressure housing, and connected to said magnetic bearings by means of wire connections or sub-sea mateable connectors. However, Grob et al. teaches the elements of claim 1 (5, 17 and 15a, b, c, d). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to modify the structure taught in Stinessen with the elements taught in Grob et al. because magnetic bearings are known to provide a more efficient means of supporting a shaft compared to oil-lubricated bearings.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,818 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

4. As concerns claims 2 and 3, Stinessen shows wherein the pressure housing is oriented vertically, and wherein the motor is placed above the compressor, wherein the second compartment is located above the first compartment (column 5, lines 56+). Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made since the expected result of this configuration improves efficiency of the sub-sea gas compressor module design.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW R. BUCK whose telephone number is (571) 270-3653. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30am - 5:00pm E.S.T..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Will can be reached on (571) 272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,818 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas A Beach/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671

mrb